

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,665	03/24/2004	Lidu Huang	02EK-105598	6222
	7590 01/17/2007 ULLIN, RICHTER & HA	EXAMINĖR		
333 SOUTH HO		GEISEL, KARA E		
48TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-1448			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/808,665	HUANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kara E. Geisel	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 November 2006</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This						
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-26 and 37-45 is/ar 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 27-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	re withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1004. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 17-26 and 37-45 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on November 1st, 2006.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on November 1st, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the groups can be searched without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because each of the independent claims do not require the particulars of the other independent claims, as evidenced by claims 1, 17, 27, 37 and 43. Furthermore each group is classified in separate subclasses, as described in the previous Office Action (paper number 20060804). For these reasons, each group would require a separate search, and therefore, would be a serious burden on the Examiner to search.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on October 1st, 2004 has been considered by the examiner. However, it is noted that C1 "Silica Integrated Optical Circuits" is over 100 pages long, so only a cursory search of this reference was conducted. If there are certain pages in this reference that are material to the prosecution of this application, applicant is requested to point them out.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 27-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Art Unit: 2877

The claims are directed a process which recites an abstract idea. Therefore the claims are directed to a judicial exception; as such, pursuant to the Interim Guidelines on Patent Eligible Subject Matter (MPEP 2106)), the claims must have either physical transformation and/or a useful, concrete and tangible result. The claims fail to include transformation from one physical state to another. Although, the claims appear useful and concrete, there does not appear to be a tangible result claimed. Merely estimating (claim 27, line 5) would not appear to be sufficient to constitute a tangible result, since the outcome of the estimating step has not been used in a disclosed practical application nor made available in such a manner that its usefulness in a disclosed practical application can be realized. As such, the subject matter of the claims is not patent eligible. Since claims 28-36 depend on this claim, and since they do not resolve the deficiencies of claim 27, they are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim Objections

Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: "wherein and the substance", it appears that applicant either forgot to add a word before the "and" or needs to delete "and" in order to clarify the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-16 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Claims 27-36 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claim 1, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious an apparatus for measuring the refractive index of a substance comprising a beam profiler disposed to receive light passed from a first lens through a gap and a second lens, and to measure a beam width of the received light, and a controller that estimates the refractive index of the substance from a

Art Unit: 2877

measured beam width and a relationship between refractive index and beam width, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 1.

As to claim 27, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a method for measuring the refractive index of a substance comprising measuring a beam width of light exiting a second lens, and estimating the refractive index of the substance from a measured beam width and a relationship between refractive index and beam width, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 27.

Additional Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art made of record is Munk (USPN 3,950,104), Moore (USPN 4,213,699), Wyatt (USPN 4,952,055), Pawliszyn (USPN 4,993,832), Nebe et al. (USPN 5,347,358), Newell et al. (USPN 5,694,210), and Pike (US Pubs 2005/0024629).

Munk discloses a method and apparatus for measuring the refractive index of a substance comprising a first lens, a second lens disposed opposite to the first lens along an optical axis, a gap between the first and second lenses, the gap adapted to receive the substance, a beam profiler disposed to receive light passed from the first lens through the gap and the second lens, and to measure a beam intensity or the divergence of a set of beams of the received light, and a controller that estimates the refractive index of the substance from a measured beam intensity or divergence and a relationship between the refractive index and the beam intensity or divergence.

Moore discloses a method and apparatus for measuring the absorption or concentration of a substance comprising a gap adapted to receive the substance, a beam profiler disposed to receive light passed from the gap, and to measure a beam width of the received light, and a controller that estimates the absorption or concentration of the substance from a measured beam width and a relationship between the absorption or concentration and the beam width.

Art Unit: 2877

Wyatt and Pike disclose a method and apparatus for measuring the refractive index of a substance comprising a first lens, a second lens disposed opposite to the first lens along an optical axis, a gap between the first and second lenses, the gap adapted to receive the substance, a beam profiler disposed to receive light passed from the first lens through the gap and the second lens, and to measure a beam displacement of the received light, and a controller that estimates the refractive index of the substance from a measured beam displacement and a relationship between the refractive index and the beam displacement.

Pawliszyn discloses a method and apparatus for measuring the refractive index of a substance comprising a first lens, a second lens disposed opposite to the first lens along an optical axis, a gap after the first and second lenses, the gap adapted to receive the substance, a beam profiler disposed to receive light passed from the first lens through the second lens and the gap, and to measure a distance between two beams of the received light, and a controller that estimates the refractive index of the substance from a measured distance between two beams and a relationship between the refractive index and the distance between two beams.

Nebe discloses a method and apparatus for measuring the refractive index of a substance comprising a first lens, a second lens disposed opposite to the first lens along an optical axis, a gap between the first and second lenses, the gap adapted to receive the substance, a beam profiler disposed to receive light passed from the first lens through the gap and the second lens, and to measure a distance between three slits of the received light, and a controller that estimates the refractive index of the substance from a measured distance between three slits and a relationship between the refractive index and the distance between three slits.

Newell discloses a method and apparatus for measuring the refractive index of a substance comprising a gap adapted to receive the substance, a beam profiler disposed to receive light reflected from the gap, and to measure a beam width of the received light, and a controller that estimates the

Art Unit: 2877

refractive index of the substance from a measured beam width and a relationship between the refractive index and the beam width.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kara E Geisel whose telephone number is **571 272 2416**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on 571 272 2800 ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kara E. Geisel Art Unit 2877